·	Chief, Audit Staff	27 Feeroh 1956	
	Chief, Finance Division		
	Interpretation of Covernment-Owned Vehicles	Regarding Insurance on	
RAF	: Messo for General Counsel from C/Aud 1956, Same Subject	1t Staff, deted 19 Merch	
	1. Reference discusses the proprie of insurance on certain vehicles sasigns Office of Operations.		
	2. We do not feel that the position matter is entirely clear since, by implies to indicate that Finance Division is of covers reimbursement of cost of insurance vehicles. Such is not the case. On the view of the auditor that is in personal vehicles.	the opinion that 25X1A  of for other than quasi-personal	
	3. The policy of Minence Division with respect to reinforcement for cost of insurance is to limit reinforcement for insurance to those teshicles wherein evidence (i.e. registration certificate, title, etc.) of the quasi-personal nature of the vehicle is furnished. In any case wherein registration and/or title indicate government conscrable, reinforcement of the cost of insurance is denied. Also, only limitity, collision and comprehensive type coverage is considered proper for reinforcement. Natical coverage is not considered a properly reinforcemble item and where included as a separate item of coverage is disallowed. Any payments passed by Finance Division which are not in conformance with the above policy are considered to be erromeous.		
	4. We, of course, must rely upon as the basis for determination as to who webliels and, therefore, cost of insurant we cannot go behind the evidence present the need for, nor the propriety of, have quasi-personal but must rely upon the accountrals of the responsible compensat as	ther a car is a quasi-personal to is subject to reinfuncement. The furpose of questioning the purpose of questioning the purpose of questioning the procedures and	
25X1A8A	5. In the circumstances listed by the should not have required	the mulitor, it would appear that istered any of its vehicles as	

## Approved For Release 2003/03/25 ILCIA-RDP59-00882R000100250016-4

-2-

of insurance coverage on such vehicles the cover and security considerations: 6. In view of the above, it would question of authority for payment, the	is proper and consistent in such directs them depress that rather them basic question to be reso	with a lved is	25X1A8
whether treatment of certain	vehicles as quasi-	personal	
was necessary and proper. If not, and	the	rently	25X1A8
government-owned in documentation on we stendes payment of insurance promiums winance Division.			
7. Pending election of the	status of	vehicles,	25X1A8
the Division is being advised that claimsurance, including renewal presidue,	ims for reimbursement of co	et of	
	25X1A9A		

PD/LEG usho

Distribution:
Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - OCC
1 - Comptroller

25X1A8A 1 1 - Odd/FD
1 - C/Finance Div.